Social media as an opportunity or a blind alley in social communication and forest education? – Experiences from Poland
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Abstract
The article characterizes the experiences, problems, and prospects for the development of forest education on social media, which in Poland has been carried out by the Państwowe Gospodarstwo Leśne Lasy Państwowe (National Forest Holding ‘State Forests’) over the past several years. The activity of foresters (employees of the State Forests with a University Degree) on social media allows contact with the public, i.e. the transmission of knowledge about forests to a wide audience, a rapid response to difficult discussions, often attacking foresters about forest management taking place in public spaces. In addition, social media has great potential for communication between scientists and the public, and even for scientific collaboration (so-called citizen science; scientific research conducted, in whole or in part, by amateur scientists). As a result of training in this attractive area of social media, the passion and experience of foresters is increasing the audience on social media for State Forests every year, all of which translates into the need for evaluating and monitoring the data from passive educational methods.
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Introduction
Social media is playing an increasingly important role in various aspects of life, and the large and growing number of users is its strength. The most popular examples include Google.com, YouTube.com, Facebook.com, Twitter.com, and Instagram.com. According to datareportal.com, in January 2021, there were 4.20 billion social media users worldwide (53% of the world’s population), while in Poland, there were 25.90 million users (68.5% of the Polish population).

In recent years, there has been growing interest among researchers in the possibilities of using social media in marketing (Alalwan et al. 2017, Appel et al. 2020), politics (Pond et al. 2019, David 2021), health promotion (Schillinger et al. 2020, Stellefson et al. 2020), and academic education (Habes et al. 2018, Chugh et al. 2021), among others. As highlighted by Daume and Fueldner (2005), social media is also beginning to play a role in forest science. Examples include research into wildlife monitoring (Daume et al. 2014, Jagiello et al. 2019, Kolenda et al. 2021), forest tourism and recreation (Wood et al. 2013, Pawlicz and Kubicki 2016), forest fire protection (De Longueville et al. 2009, Yue et al. 2021), and the illegal trade of wildlife (Waters and El-Harrad 2013, Siriwat and Nijman 2018).

Currently, little is known about the use of social media by foresters for public communication and forest education. And currently there are only reports of foresters using online tools (Bogdanou et al. 2013, Zeng et al. 2020). Information on the use of social media in disseminating forest knowledge has gained value over the past two years as the delivery of environmental education in the field has been curtailed due to the COVID-19 pandemic. According to Quay (2020), much of the normal education has had to move to virtual sites.

Currently, there is a growing interest among the public in management, protection, and recreation on forest lands in Poland (Orzechowski and Kamińska 2018, Jaszczak 2020), particularly in urban forests (Hunter 2001, Jaszczak and Wajchman 2015, Referowska-Chodak 2019). The public appears to want to have a real impact on forest manage-
ment tasks; however, according to Jakobsson et al. (2020), this may give rise to conflicts regarding the management of forest ecosystems, which is already manifested in the public opinion of Poles (Szwagrzyk 2019).

Forest covers 29.6% of Poland (as of 31.12.2019), of which public forests constitute 80.7%, and 76.9% of these are managed by the State Forests National Forest Holding (State Forests, SF) (Report... 2019a), which consists of the General Directorate of State Forests in Warsaw, 17 Regional Directorates, and 430 forest districts (Szczypa 2016). In Poland, since 1991, under the Forest Act, the dissemination of informal forest education is handled by the State Forests. Grzywacz (2000) defines forest education as appropriate influence on people to shape their awareness of nature, when selected aspects of forest environment are covered in teaching.

The aim of this article is to discuss the benefits, problems, and prospects of using social media in forest education by Polish foresters. The article is in the style of an overview. Our findings have both cognitive and practical implications for optimizing the use of social media in forestry, and provide support for present or future administrators, educators, and researchers from social media at the regional, national, and global levels, all of whom may be interested in conducting more effective forestry education online.

The State of Forestry education on Facebook in Poland

In 2009, the first State Forests fan page on Facebook, by the Gdansk Forest District, was created (Ciechanowicz 2010, Smarul et al. 2019), which initiated the conduct of online forest education on Facebook. The main profile of the State Forests started to run from 2010. According to Lewoń and Pirożnikow (2019), more than 200 units were active on Facebook in 2018. From 13 February–14 March 2018, the total reach of the main profile of the State Forests was 413,237 users, and the viral reach (the number of impressions of the fan page and its content that are the result of the activity of other users) was 651,994 (Rak 2018). The State Forests fan page does not use sponsored posts (Lasy Państwowy 2019). Each year, the number of fans and the average daily reach of the posts on the main profile of the State Forests increases (Table 1). Yet, despite this, little is known about the real impact of online education and communication activities conducted by foresters. According to SoTrender.com (2021), which is an analytical tool used to measure the effectiveness of communication in social media, the profile of the State Forests on Facebook has been in the top 10 governmental organisations in Poland for four years in terms of user activity, engagement, and the number of fans.

The State of Forestry education on other social media

SF foresters are also active in other social media; however, so far, they have not been described or analyzed in scientific texts. SF Foresters have been operating a YouTube channel since 2010, which, as of 04.12.2021, has reached 31.7 thousand subscriptions, 1315 videos have been published on the channel, and the total number of views has reached over 20 million (Lasy Państwowe on YouTube 2021). Since 2016, the State Forests, whose profile as of 04.12.2021 has over 38 thousand observers, are active on Instagram. During this period, 2,103 posts were published (Lasy Państwowe on Instagram 2021). In addition, since 2020, SF foresters are available on Twitter and their profiles as of 04.12.2021 are observed by more than 13 thousand users. A total of 3,683 posts were published (Lasy Państwowe on Twitter 2021).

Administrators of State Forest profiles in social media

The online activity of Polish foresters is their own initiative, which is conducted on the “margin” of their main professional activity (Lewoń and Pirożnikow 2019). In Poland, there are no legal regulations on who can run the social media of forest districts. The positive reception of shared content is the result of passion, authenticity, and the naturalness of administrators (Ciechanowicz 2011). Administrators of social media are persons (foresters), who hold various positions in the forest district, not necessarily professionally involved in forest education. Foresters can count on in-house training by experienced State Forest employees. Administrators are expected, among other things, to be committed, calm, understand the needs of their audience, and be able to write, take pictures, and record video correctly. As such, major responsibilities include planning and creating educational content, publishing posts, and engaging users in conversation by adding comments (Rak 2018).

Table 1. Statistics regarding the main profile of the State Forests on Facebook

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2016 (Report... 2017)</th>
<th>2017 (Report... 2018)</th>
<th>2018 (Report... 2019)</th>
<th>2019 (Report... 2020b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of fans determined at the end of the year</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>70,120</td>
<td>91,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average daily reach of posts</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual number of posts</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Benefits and potential of social media in forestry education**

The presence of foresters on social media allows for the transfer of knowledge about forests and forest management at a low cost (Łukowski et al. 2016, Lewoń and Pirożnikow 2019), informing the public prior to undertaking tasks, responding to the needs of the public, shaping the correct image of foresters and the State Forests, resolving potential conflicts (Kopalka-Boratynska et al. 2017, Naturski and Stepińska 2018), learning about local foresters, and encouraging collective responsibility for native wildlife (Lewoń and Pirożnikow 2019).

Chamberlain’s research (2018) describes well the mutual learning of Internet users and the role of the expert in informal education. Similar observations appear in Poland (Pietrzak-Zawadka and Lewoń 2018, Lewoń and Pirożni- kow 2019). Social media motivates various activities in the field, promotes professional popular science literature, and enables knowledge acquisition. Moreover, users can ask nagging questions and collectively search for answers. What is interesting is that users often share photos from nature, including flora and fauna, asking for species identification, and other Internet users can help with great satisfaction, motivating others to discover nature in the field, which allows them to combine theoretical knowledge in practice. On the other hand, it should be remembered that direct contact with the forest is the best place for forest education (Lindemann-Matthies and Knecht 2011, Harris 2018). As emphasized by (Mehdipour and Zerehkafi 2013) the use of passive education methods is not sufficient to develop pro-ecological attitudes or a complete understanding of the relationships occurring in nature, because these methods only support formal education (Raut and Patil 2016). Classes conducted in the natural environment allow direct contact with forest as well as elements of forest management such as pile of wood, fence the forest crops or forest nursery the breaking of stereotypes resulting from a lack of contact with the work of foresters (Kroksowska-Paluszek et al. 2018, Nwachukwu et al. 2021).

In Poland, foresters are the administrators of several Facebook groups, where, among other things, they share forestry-related content, answer questions about forest management, and help to tag species from shared photos of other users. It is important in running such groups to maintain a balance between administrative control and the freedom of users to share content, which will allow a balance between content and engagement (Lewoń and Pirożnikow 2019). When creating content, it is worth keeping in mind the 1-9-90 rule, which states that 1% of users create a lot of new content, 9% create occasionally, and 90% of users just watch (van Mierlo 2014).

Social media provides a way to connect with researchers, and sometimes even allows collaboration on fieldwork. Examples of such activities are an inventory of the praying mantis (*Mantis religiosa*) (Zielinski et al. 2018) or the squirrel (*Sciurus vulgaris*) (Kostrewa and Krauze-Gryz 2019). This type of research is increasingly being carried out in other parts of the world (Pace et al. 2019, Marcenò et al. 2021a, Marcenò et al. 2021b), therefore, foresters and scientists could create local groups, the scientific potential of which could be used in the future in the monitoring of invasive species (Daume and Galaz 2016) and rare species (Gonella et al. 2015, Azzurro and Tiralongo 2020, Al Mabruk et al. 2021) and in various tasks for nature conservation, e.g. field assistance in the removal of invasive species.

**Weaknesses related to the use of social media in forest education**

Weaknesses related to the use of social media by foresters are mainly related to site administration and communication with the public (nature conflicts). A study by Łukowski et al. (2016) shows inconsistency regarding the fan pages of individual forest districts in terms of: 1) basic information about the page, 2) profile pictures, 3) contact information and links to the official website of the particular forest district. Lack of consistency in image creation can significantly affect the overall perception of the State Forests. Administering is mainly an activity outside working hours, which relates to limited time and, consequently, a lack of regularity of published content. It would, therefore, be advisable to consider the cooperation of administrators from different units for the sake of greater regularity and improved quality of published content (Lewoń and Pirożnikow 2019, Smarul et al. 2019).

Foresters should be active on social media because of the rapid flow of information and the possibility of “feedback” from a wide audience. Conflicts are often based on an emotional connection to nature, and such emotions are good for communicating knowledge and showing a forester’s passion for nature (Buijs and Lawrence 2013), which can result in numerous posts attacking forestry organisations locally and even nationally. Therefore, it is important that the forestry organisation reacts quickly [to negative commentary]. Creating content in simple language accessible for general public can dispel doubts and extinguish potential conflicts, e.g. at the local level, for example, the Forest District of Lopuchówko in Poland (Kapalka-Boratyńska et al. 2017).

Social media often use catchy headlines and fake news, addressing controversial content in a biased manner, to increase the reach of given information (Chakraborty et al. 2016, Zhang and Clough 2020). Content that repeatedly attacks foresters on difficult and multifaceted topics can lead not only to misinformation but also, more importantly, to diminished public trust in educators and foresters (Lewoń and Pirożnikow 2019). Consequently, the effectiveness of forestry education, which is carried out by the State Forests, may decrease. Addressing this is particularly important during these times of climate change and emerging reports regarding the fir (*Abies alba*) as an alternative to the dying spruce (*Picea abies*)
(Baranowska et al. 2020), and the cultivation of alien species (Baranowska et al. 2020).

**Best practices for social media administrators**

The key is to regularly create posts (Smarul et al. 2019) that enable knowledge, entertainment, social acceptance, create a social relationship, and challenge (the status quo) (Rak 2018). When creating content, language should be simple, avoiding industry-specific vocabulary. Photographs, videos, and other graphics should be of good quality and original, to make the educational content credible and better attract the audience. During the dialogue, stick to facts, concrete figures, and examples. It is useful to be inspired by local patriotism and culture (Śpiewanka 2019). Social media have different character limits: for Facebook it is over 60 thousand characters (Thomas et al. 2018), Instagram 2200 for captions (Gligorić et al. 2018), Twitter posts (tweets) are limited to 280 characters (Gligorić et al. 2018). In Poland, for forester social media administrators, the study by Smarul et al. (2019) recommends creating Facebook posts of 100–150 characters.

Social media administrators should elicit comments and add reactions, e.g. by asking questions, creating polls, surveys, and competitions (Smarul et al. 2019). Using hashtags (#) allows content to be grouped (Reinhardt et al. 2018). In Poland, for forester social media administrators, the study by Smarul et al. (2019) recommends creating Facebook posts of 100–150 characters.

By showing the truths that govern the natural world, the so-called Bambi syndrome, which is caused by a lack of contact of societies with nature, showing animals as poor and defenceless creatures (Lutts 1992) can be avoided. In Poland, popularization of the productive functions of the forest is often avoided, therefore, discussing wood is a necessity of the information provided. Future research should focus on the characteristics of the audience, knowing their expectations, which will allow adjustment to more attractive content in the posts for the general public, maximizing the effectiveness of education. Despite the challenges of dialogue between foresters and the public on issues of conflict, the activities of foresters on social media provide a broad educational spectrum that should be developed in the future and adapted to the ever-changing expectations of the public.

**Conclusion**

Forest education in social media thanks to the speed of content delivery and the ability to organize content and motivate users to go into the field complements formal education. However, there are still many challenges and limitations that can influence the effectiveness of online forest education as well as communication with the public. There are still no viable tools that examine the effectiveness of the information provided. Future research should focus on the characteristics of the audience, knowing their expectations, which will allow adjustment to more attractive content in the posts for the general public, maximizing the effectiveness of education. Despite the challenges of dialogue between foresters and the public on issues of conflict, the activities of foresters on social media provide a broad educational spectrum that should be developed in the future and adapted to the ever-changing expectations of the public.
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